## Application No. Applicant(s) KOLOSSOV ET AL. 10/594,188

Examiner-initiated interview Summary			
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SHIN LIN CHEN	1632	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
1) <u>SHIN LIN CHEN</u> .	(3)		
2) <u>Patrick Fogle</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 11 November 2011.			
Type:   ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant	applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes If Yes, brief description:	⊠ No.		
ssues Discussed 1101 1112 1102 1103 Oth For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detail	BIS led description of the discussion)		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>5,10,20,21 and 49</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Substance of Interview For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreemen eference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, argum	was reached. Some topics may include: ents of any applied references etc)	dentification or clarifi	cation of a
Examiner proposed minor amendment on claims 5, 10, 20 to correct the improper dependent claim language in claims amendment.			
Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to p	provide a separate record of the substa	ance of interview.	
Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the sub- ne substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713 openeral thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication or peneral results are nutrement in the intendew, to include an indication as to y	.04 for complete and proper recordate f any other pertinent matters discusse	on including the iden d regarding patental	tification of the pility and the
Attachment			
/Shin-Lin Chen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1632			